

Serial No. 10/772,399
Attorney Docket No. 46107-0101
Response to Office Action dated February 15, 2006


REMARKS

The present document is in response to the Office Action mailed February 15, 2006, in which the Examiner required election between Invention I (claims 1-7, drawn to power drive electronics apparatus, classified in class 318, subclass 801); Invention II (claims 8-15, drawn to multi-axle vehicle, classified in class 180, subclass 66.2) and Invention III (claims 16-20, drawn to generator's rotor winding control, classified in class 318, subclass 521). Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1-7 without traverse. Furthermore, Applicants have added new claims 21-25 directed to the invention of claims 1-7 (identified by the Examiner as Invention I). Applicants reserve the right to submit a divisional application directed to the non-elected claims at a later time. In view of this election and these remarks, it is respectfully submitted that an action on the merits of the elected claims is in order.

Favorable consideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
Attorneys for Applicants

Date: March 13, 2006

By: 
Michael A. Schaldenbrand
Reg. No. 47,923

Dickinson Wright PLLC
1901 L Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
(248) 433-7570

MAS/

BLOOMFIELD 46107-101 746724v1